

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,867	02/07/2001	Achim Philipp	PHIL3005/JEK 4065	
. 7	590 01/14/2004		EXAMINER	
	HOMAS, PLLC	LUU, THANH X		
4th Floor 625 Slaters Lar	ne		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, V.	A 22314-1176		2878	

DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/777,86	57	PHILIPP ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
-		Thanh X L	uu	2878			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
	Status						
/ <u> </u>	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 August 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
· _	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>10-18</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.							
Attachmer			4)	(PTO 412) Paper No(a)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s))	· —	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2878

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 27, 2003 has been entered.

Claims 10-18 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Nowhere in the original disclosure does Applicant use the term "fitness." Thus, as understood, the term "fitness" is new matter.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

ķ



Application/Control Number: 09/777,867

Art Unit: 2878

5. Claims 10-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 10-18, it is unclear what "fitness" means, as there is no mention of the term in the original disclosure.

Regarding claim 11, it is unclear in its given context how identical illumination units have different wavelengths.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claim 10, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagase (U.S. Patent 5,892,239).

Regarding claim 10, Nagase discloses (see Figure 7) an apparatus for sensing the bank note transported along a transport path by a transport device, comprising: a plurality of identical sensor units (18) and identical illumination units (6) positioned along opposite sides of the transport path, the sensor and illumination units positioned so as to focus at a preselected segment of the bank note; wherein the illumination units are

Application/Control Number: 09/777,867

Art Unit: 2878

positioned and configured to illuminate directly opposite sides of the preselected segment of the bank note.

8. Claim 10, as understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mazowieksy (U.S. Patent 6,104,036).

Regarding claim 10, Mazowieksy discloses (see Figure 8) an apparatus for sensing the bank note transported along a transport path by a transport device, comprising: a plurality of identical sensor units (120, 130) and identical illumination units (110, 110') positioned along opposite sides of the transport path, the sensor and illumination units positioned so as to focus at a preselected segment of the bank note; wherein the illumination units are positioned and configured to illuminate directly opposite sides of the preselected segment of the bank note.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 14, 15 and 18, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagase.

Regarding claims 14, 15 and 18, Nagase discloses the claimed invention as set forth above. Nagase further discloses turning on and off the light sources; thus, the intensity alternately changes. Nagase does not specifically disclose simultaneous illumination. However, Nagase teaches (see column 7, lines 50-65) turning on light

Application/Control Number: 09/777,867

Art Unit: 2878

sources when a feature of the bank note is expected. Further, since the feature is detectable on both sides of the bank note, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to illuminate simultaneously in the apparatus and method of Nagase to provide complete detection on both sides of the bank note.

11. Claims 16 and 17, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagase in view of in view of Liu et al. (U.S. Patent 6,040,584).

Regarding claims 16 and 17, Nagase discloses the claimed invention as set forth above. Nagase does not specifically disclose using a different imaging resolution. Liu et al. teach (see Figure 9 and column 10, lines 56-67) of a bank note inspection method that divides a bank note into different areas based on currency and denomination, and evaluates the bank note at different resolutions (further division of the regions; e.g. region 501 versus region 508). Liu et al. further teach (see column 10, lines 65-67) that the accuracy in detecting bank notes is increased with more divided regions or different resolutions. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to image the areas at different resolutions in the method of Nagase in view of Liu et al. to improve detection by increasing the accuracy of inspection.

Response to Arguments

12. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 6

Conclusion

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanh X. Luu whose telephone number is (703) 305-0539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM - 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta, can be reached on (703) 308-4852. The fax phone number for the organization where the application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

txl January 8, 2004 Thanh X. Luu Primary Examiner